

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| I. WELCOME AND PROGRAM OVERVIEW | 2 |
| A. TECHNIQUES TO MAXIMIZE THE SETTLEMENT FOR YOUR CLIENT IN MEDIATIONS. | 2 |
| B. CRUMBS LEFT AT THE TABLE: HOW VARIOUS LIENS AND SUBROGATION CLAIMS MAY EAT INTO THE PLAINTIFF’S RECOVERY AND YOUR ATTORNEY FEES. | 2 |
| C. KNOWING THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LIEN AND SUBROGATION RIGHTS. | 2 |
| D. PLAINTIFF’S ATTORNEY’S ETHICAL OBLIGATIONS <i>VIS-A-VIS</i> LIENS. | 2 |
| E. OBVIOUS, AND, NOT SO OBVIOUS, CONFLICT OF INTERESTS. | 2 |
| F. ETHICS OPINIONS PERTAINING TO LIENS AND CLAIMS AGAINST SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS. | 2 |
| | |
| II. MAXIMIZING SETTLEMENT VALUE WITHOUT GIVING AWAY THE PLAINTIFF’S MONEY | 3 |
| A. WHAT ARE MEDIATION SUPPORT SERVICES? | 3 |
| B. TYPES OF SPECIFIC SERVICES AVAILABLE AND THE BENEFITS TO YOUR CLIENT | 4 |
| C. DON’T GET “LOCKED OUT OF THE BOX”- WHO SHOULD BE THERE? | 46 |
| D. THE TEAM APPROACH TO MEDIATION SUPPORT SERVICES; USING MEDIATION SUPPORT MODULES TO MAXIMIZE MEDIATION RESULTS THROUGH A HYPOTHETICAL DEMONSTRATION. | 48 |
| | |
| III. MANAGING MEDICARE CONDITIONAL PAYMENTS (“MEDICARE LIENS”) | 49 |
| A. AN OVERVIEW OF MEDICARE | 49 |
| B. MEDICARE LIEN RESPONSIBILITIES- WHAT IS REAL, WHAT IS IMAGINED. | 50 |
| C. THE SMART ACT: A PANACEA OR MORE OF THE SAME | 80 |
| D. WHAT IS NEW WITH CONDITIONAL PAYMENTS | 91 |
| E. INTEREST AND PENALTIES FOR NON-PAYMENT | 94 |
| | |
| IV. THE ATTORNEY’S ETHICAL OBLIGATIONS WITH REGARD TO THE MEDICARE CONDITIONAL PAYMENTS (AS WELL AS OTHER CLAIMS/LIENS)..... | 95 |
| A. NEW YORK STATE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT. | 95 |
| B. THE DANGER OF PROCEEDING WHERE COUNSEL IS THE <i>ONLY</i> BENEFICIARY OF THE SETTLEMENT. | 110 |
| C. UNDO DELAY IN PLAINTIFF’S RECEIPT OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS DUE TO ATTORNEYS DELAY IN CONTACTING AND/OR REQUESTING AN UPDATE FROM CMS. | 111 |
| D. DISCUSSION OF ETHICAL OPINIONS | 112 |
| E. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENTS AND LIEN RESOLUTION | 136 |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| V. MEDICARE SET-ASIDES (MSAS) – WHAT IS REAL, WHAT IS IMAGINED, WHAT IS CONTRIVED | 144 |
| A. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS CLAIMED AS A BASIS FOR THE REQUIREMENT FOR AN MSA. | 144 |
| B. MEDICARE SET-ASIDES IN THE WORKERS’ COMPENSATION ARENA | 144 |
| C. MEDICARE SET-ASIDES IN THE LIABILITY CASES | 145 |
| D. WHERE THE TWO WORLDS MEET. | 160 |
| E. THE PROPOSED MSA REGULATIONS: THE PLAINTIFF’S RESPONSIBILITY | 179 |
| F. MSA ADMINISTRATION – SELF VS. PROFESSIONAL ADMINISTRATION | 197 |
| G. THE MSA DANGER ZONE- USE YOUR OWN WATCHDOG (MSA WATCHDOG) | 210 |
| H. USE OF STRUCTURED SETTLEMENTS TO REDUCE PLAINTIFF’S OUT OF POCKET COSTS TO FUND THE MSA ALLOCATION. | 211 |
| VI. AVOIDING DELAY IN RECOVERY OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS “GETTING PAID FASTER” | 224 |
| A. NEW YORK STATE CPLR SECTION 5003-A | 224 |
| VII. THE DREADED MEDICARE RELEASE | 229 |
| A. VARIOUS EXAMPLES OF SUCH MEDICARE RELEASES | 229 |
| B. ELIMINATING THE TEN (10) PAGE “MEDICARE RELEASE” | 230 |
| C. SAMPLE OF GOOD RELEASES | 235 |
| VIII. MEDICARE ADVANTAGE (MA) PLANS..... | 236 |
| A. WHAT ARE MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PLANS | 236 |
| B. DO THOSE PLANS ACTUALLY HAVE LIENS? | 236 |
| C. THE MEDICARE ADVANTAGE (MA) PLAN REGULATIONS (42 CFR 422.108) | 237 |
| D. DIVISION IN THE CIRCUITS: RELEVANT CASE LAW AND HOLDINGS | 239 |
| IX. THE SUPER LIEN: ERISA SELF-FUNDED HEALTH BENEFIT PLANS | 243 |
| A. HOW TO DISTINGUISH ERISA SELF-FUNDED HEALTH BENEFIT PLANS FROM INSURED PLANS. | 243 |
| B. ARE ERISA PLANS EXCEPTIONS TO NEW YORK STATE GENERAL OBLIGATIONS LAW SECTION 5-335? WHEN SHALL NYS GOL 5-335 APPLY TO ELIMINATE ERISA BASED CLAIMS FOR REIMBURSEMENT OR “LIENS”? | 253 |
| C. ERISA ENFORCEMENT LIMITED TO “EQUITABLE RELIEF” | 255 |
| D. CONTROLLING CASE LAW AND POTENTIAL DEFENSES UNDER ERISA FOR SELF-FUNDED HEALTH BENEFIT PLANS | 256 |
| E. MULTIPLE EMPLOYER WELFARE ARRANGEMENTS (MEWAS) | 262 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| X. MEDICAID LIENS..... | 266 |
| A. THE FEDERAL ANTI-LIEN STATUTE [42 U.S.C. § 1396P(A)(1)] | 266 |
| B. THE FEDERAL ANTI-RECOVERY STATUTE [§1396P(B)(1)]..... | 266 |
| C. NEW YORK STATE SOCIAL SERVICES LAW SECTION 104-B..... | 267 |
| D. DISCUSSION OF <i>ARKANSAS DEPT. OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES V. AHLBORN</i> , 547 U.S. 268 (2006)..... | 271 |
| E. DISCUSSION OF <i>TRISTANI V. RICHMAN</i> , 2009 WL 799747 (W.D.PA. MAR. 25, 2009) (NO. 06-694)..... | 271 |
| F. DISCUSSION OF THIRD CIRCUIT VERSION: <i>TRISTANI II</i> , U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT (JUNE 29, 2011)..... | 272 |
| G. DISCUSSION OF <i>WOS V. E.M.A.</i> , 568 U.S. ____ (2013) | 273 |
| H. RECENT AND IMPORTANT NEW YORK CASES DEVELOPMENTS..... | 275 |
| I. RECENT AMENDMENTS: BIPARTISAN BUDGET ACT OF 2013, SECTION 202 OF THE ACT IS ENTITLED "STRENGTHENING MEDICAID THIRD-PARTY LIABILITY." | 279 |
| J. HOW WILL THE BIPARTISAN BUDGET ACT OF 2013 AFFECT NYS SOCIAL SERVICES LAW §104-B, §367 AND §369?..... | 282 |
| K. MEDICAID ESTATE RECOVERY | 285 |
| L. MEDICAID REIMBURSEMENT IN NURSING HOME CASES..... | 286 |
| | |
| XI. PROTECTING PUBLIC BENEFITS | 288 |
| A. SSI AND MEDICAID | 288 |
| B. SUPPLEMENTAL NEEDS TRUSTS | 298 |
| C. POOLED TRUSTS (WHEN A SNT IS NOT AUTHORIZED OR PRACTICAL) | 306 |
| D. RELEVANT CASE LAW | 307 |
| | |
| XII. ATTORNEY’S BEST PRACTICES | 309 |
| A. ADDITIONAL ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS: SHOULD A PLAINTIFF PROCEED WITH A CASE IF THE ENTIRE RECOVERY WILL BE PAID TO THE PLAN | 309 |
| B. THE COERCED LIEN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AGREEMENT OR COVERAGE DENIAL ULTIMATUM..... | 310 |
| C. OUTSOURCING LIEN RESOLUTION..... | 310 |